

# MyCardioAdvocate™

## Lipid Guidelines & Cardiovascular Risk

*When following the guidelines might not be enough to protect you*

*Updated March 2026*

### Why This Matters

Your doctor checks your cholesterol and tells you the number is fine. Your LDL-C is below the guideline threshold. You take your statin. You're protected, right? Maybe not. Lipid guidelines have evolved dramatically over the past decade—and 2026 brings the most consequential update in years. The landscape is shifting from a focus on percentage reductions to absolute **treatment goals**, from LDL cholesterol alone to a broader portfolio of lipid and non-lipid risk factors, and from one-size-fits-all recommendations to truly personalized risk stratification. Understanding these changes isn't academic. It could mean the difference between a stable plaque and a heart attack.

### Why Lipid Guidelines Fly Under the Radar

Lipid management has become invisible medicine. Patients take their pills and assume they're protected. Primary care physicians often practice fire and forget prescribing—once a statin is started, follow-ups are infrequent or nonexistent. Risk calculators like the Pooled Cohort Equation (PCE) have known blind spots: they underestimate risk in certain populations, miss the impact of inflammation, and don't account for family history adequately. LDL-C alone is insufficient; we now know that apolipoprotein B (ApoB) is a better predictor of particle burden, that Lipoprotein(a) is a heritable, independent risk factor, and that coronary artery calcium (CAC) scores provide crucial refinement for intermediate-risk patients. Conservative guidelines applied uniformly to high-risk patients may leave them undertreated. Ethnic groups—particularly South Asian and Black populations—have different risk profiles and are often underserved by generic algorithms. And when major guideline organizations disagree (as they do—ACC/AHA vs. ESC vs. NLA), patients and physicians are left guessing which path to follow.

### What Changed in 2026

The 2026 lipid guidelines represent a watershed moment. After more than a decade of recommending **percentage reductions** in LDL-C, absolute treatment **goals** are back—for the first time since 2013. This is not a minor semantic shift. Goals give you a target to hit. They align with modern evidence and shared decision-making. Here are the headline changes:

| Risk Category                 | LDL-C Goal (mg/dL) | ApoB Goal (mg/dL) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Very High ASCVD Risk          | <55                | <80               |
| High ASCVD Risk               | <70                | <100              |
| Intermediate Risk             | <100               | <130              |
| Primary Prevention (Low Risk) | <130               | <160              |

### Key 2026 Changes:

- Universal Lipoprotein(a) screening is now Class of Recommendation 1 (COR 1), meaning it should be done for all patients. Knowing your Lp(a) is critical for risk stratification.
- ApoB enters the algorithm formally. Where LDL-C measures cholesterol content, ApoB measures lipoprotein particle count—a superior predictor of atherosclerotic risk.
- Coronary artery calcium (CAC) scoring now has tiered recommendations. Zero or very low CAC may allow de-escalation of therapy; moderate to high CAC supports more aggressive treatment.
- The CPR Framework (Criteria for Personalized Recommendation) replaces the old one-size-fits-all approach, enabling shared decision-making tailored to individual preferences and risk tolerance.
- Dietary supplements for lipid lowering are COR 3: No Benefit. This includes fish oil, red yeast rice, garlic, turmeric, and plant sterols—when the 2026 data showed a 5 mg statin beat all of them combined.
- New drugs appear in the algorithm, and existing agents have refined roles. Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) patients now see statins listed as COR 3: No Benefit—a recognition that the evidence in this population is weak.

## MyCardioAdvocate™ Checklist

Five critical questions to ask your doctor:

### 1. Do I know my complete lipid panel beyond LDL-C?

Ask for your total cholesterol, LDL-C, HDL-C, triglycerides, ApoB, and Lipoprotein(a). These numbers paint a fuller picture than LDL-C alone.

### 2. What are my specific treatment goals under the 2026 guidelines?

Don't settle for vague targets. Ask your doctor where your targets are for LDL-C and ApoB. Write them down. Track them at every visit.

### 3. Am I getting fire and forget prescribing?

If your statin was started years ago and never revisited, ask why. Dose adjustments, add-on therapies (like PCSK9 inhibitors, bempedoic acid, or inclisiran), and lifestyle modifications should be reassessed regularly.

### 4. Am I a candidate for add-on therapies?

High-risk patients not at goal on statins alone may benefit from PCSK9 inhibitors, ezetimibe, bempedoic acid, or inclisiran. Your doctor should discuss whether you qualify and whether cost/access are barriers.

### 5. Are the guidelines being applied to my specific population and risk profile?

Standard algorithms may underserve certain ethnic groups or high-risk individuals. If you have a strong family history, early ASCVD, diabetes, chronic kidney disease, or other comorbidities, ask whether your treatment plan is personalized.

**Pro Tip:** Bring your lipid numbers to your next visit written down. Ask your doctor how close you are to your 2026 treatment goals. If not at goal, ask what the plan is. A specific answer is better than no answer.

# CPR Opportunities: Where Shared Decision-Making Matters

## 1. Which Guideline to Follow When They Disagree?

The ACC/AHA, ESC, and NLA lipid guidelines all offer credible but sometimes conflicting advice. The ACC/AHA emphasizes absolute treatment goals and ApoB; the ESC takes a risk-reduction focus; the NLA has its own nuances. Your doctor should choose a framework and explain it to you. There is no universally correct guideline—but there should be transparency about which one is guiding your care and why.

## 2. Treating to Target vs. Treating to Percentage Reduction: Which Matters More?

The 2026 guidelines support both approaches, but the emphasis has shifted back to goals. If your LDL-C is 150 and the goal is 100, you need a 33% reduction. If it's 200 and the goal is 100, you need a 50% reduction. Both may require the same therapy, or they may not. The key is clarity: your doctor should tell you the target, measure progress toward it, and adjust therapy if you fall short. Passive acceptance of current status isn't good enough.

## On the Horizon

Several developments are reshaping lipid management. APOC3 inhibitors (which lower apolipoprotein C-III and triglycerides) are in advanced trials and may soon offer a new tool for high-triglyceride patients. Small dense LDL (sdLDL) testing may become routine as a marker of atherogenicity. GLP-1 receptor agonists, originally developed for diabetes and weight loss, are now known to lower LDL-C and triglycerides—a secondary benefit that may reshape primary prevention in obese patients. And genetic testing for familial hypercholesterolemia (FH) is expanding, enabling earlier identification and more aggressive treatment of inherited lipid disorders.

## Key Takeaways

- Lipid management in 2026 is more personalized, goal-driven, and comprehensive than ever before.
- LDL-C alone is insufficient; ApoB, Lp(a), CAC, and risk calculators all play complementary roles.
- Universal Lp(a) screening and absolute treatment goals are now standard—not optional.
- If you're not at goal after 4-6 weeks of therapy, or if your therapy hasn't been adjusted in years, ask why.
- Natural supplements are not recommended for lipid lowering; focus on evidence-based therapies.

## Next Steps

- Schedule a lipid panel that includes ApoB and Lp(a). Get a printout and bring it to your next doctor visit.
- Ask your cardiologist or primary care doctor what your 2026 treatment goals are. Write them down.
- If not at goal, ask about options: higher-dose statin, add-on therapy, or lifestyle modifications.
- Have an honest conversation about CAC screening if you're in the intermediate-risk range.

## Related MyCardioAdvocate™ Briefs

- Lipoprotein(a) — Know Your Number
- Supplements & Cardiovascular Risk — When Natural Isn't Safe
- Fish Oil & Omega-3s — Separating Science from Supplements
- Risk Calculators — Why the PCE Isn't Enough

- Coronary Artery Calcium — The Score That Changes Everything
- ApoB — The Particle Count That Matters

---

*MyCardioAdvocate™ briefs are educational only and do not constitute medical advice. Always consult your healthcare provider before making changes to your treatment plan. Information current as of March 2026.*